

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			L amonatry pocket are	CONCIDATATIONAL	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/689,229	10/20/2003	Philip O. Gerard	LAC03 P320	4066	
277	7590 11/25/2005		EXAMINER		
PRICE HENEVELD COOPER DEWITT & LITTON, LLP			BELLINGER, JASON R		
695 KENMOO	OR, S.E.				
P O BOX 256	7		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
GRAND RAP	IDS, MI 49501		3617		
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/25/200	DATE MAILED: 11/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/689,229	GERARD, PHILIP O.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Jason R. Bellinger	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 28 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comparing time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). In the standard of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have						
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any elements of Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a since an element of the since and the since and the since and the since are sin	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS	Later dender the detailed to the					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 			because			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		12 201011),				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal, and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	• • •	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 4,17,21 and 33. Claim(s) objected to: 5.	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ w vided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>o</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,6-16,18-20,22-32,35</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		·				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the contraction of the contrac	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:			
12. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. ☑ Other: <u>Note attached PTO-892 form</u> .						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to the claims overcomes the previous rejection under Chase ('906). However, these proposed amendments introduces a new consideration, since the claims would be narower than previously searched. Therefore, further search and consideration are required. The Speigel et al and Van Houten et al references are cited as being pertinent.

S. JOSEPH MORANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600